```
1
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
 2
                           WESTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 5
                       PETITIONER,
 6
                VS
                                     ) CASE NO. 5:10-HC-2151-BO
 7
 8
       WALTER WOODEN,
 9
                      RESPONDENT.
10
11
12
13
                                STATUS CONFERENCE
14
                                   MAY 17, 2011
15
                     HONORABLE TERRENCE W. BOYLE, PRESIDING
16
17
18
       APPEARANCES:
19
           MR. MICHAEL JAMES
            ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
20
            310 NEW BERN AVENUE
            RALEIGH, NC
                           27601
21
            (FOR THE GOVERNMENT)
22
            MR. NORMAN ACKER, III
            ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
23
            310 NEW BERN AVENUE
            RALEIGH, NC 27601
24
            (FOR THE GOVERNMENT)
25
```

```
1
       APPEARANCES: (CONT.)
 2
            MS. DEBRA GRAVES
            ASSISTANT FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
 3
            150 FAYETTEVILLE STREET
            SUITE 450
 4
            RALEIGH, NC 27601
            (FOR THE RESPONDENT)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
       SHARON K. KROEGER, COURT REPORTER
       MACHINE SHORTHAND REPORTER, COMPUTER AIDED TRANSCRIPTION
```

1 THE COURT: A LOT OF WHAT WE SAID IN THE LAST 2 CASE APPLIES TO THIS CASE. WHERE ARE WE ON THE TRIAL 3 SCHEDULE WITH HIM? 4 MS. GRAVES: YOUR HONOR, UNFORTUNATELY WE ARE 5 NOT AS FAR ALONG AS IN THE PREVIOUS CASE BECAUSE OF OUR 6 LACK OF FUNDING IN THE FEDEDERAL DEFENDER'S OFFICE. I 7 FILED A MOTION, I THINK YESTERDAY, REGARDING THE FACT 8 THAT WE DON'T HAVE THE FUNDS TO HIRE AN EXPERT. 9 THE PROCESS IS IN PLACE. WE HAVE MADE THE 10 REQUEST TO WASHINGTON, BUT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE 11 COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON 12 DEFENDANTS' SERVICES NEXT MEETS JUNE 13 TO 15. WE ARE 13 HOPING THAT OUR REQUEST WILL BE ON THE AGENDA AT THAT 14 TIME, AND IF IT IS, IT WOULD STILL TAKE ROUGHLY THREE 15 WEEKS AFTER THAT FOR US TO GET FUNDING. 16 SO WE ARE KIND OF AT A STANDSTILL IN TERMS OF 17 HIRING SOMEONE TO EVALUATE MR. WOODEN ON THE RESPONDENT'S 18 BEHALF. 19 THE COURT: HOW IS THIS DIFFERENT FROM A 20 REGULAR CRIMINAL CASE WHERE YOU NEEDED TO PRODUCE 21 EVIDENCE? 22 MS. GRAVES: IT'S DIFFERENT IN THAT WE 23 BUDGETED FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR TAKING INTO ACCOUNT REGULAR 24 CRIMINAL CASES, BUT NOT TAKING INTO ACCOUNT HIRING

EXPERTS FOR THESE 4248 CASES. I THINK THE THINKING

25

WAS -- AND YOU KNOW, IT'S KIND OF HARD TO GO BACK, BUT
I THINK THE THINKING BACK IN THE END OF LAST YEAR WAS
THAT MOST EXPERTS WOULD BE COURT EXPERTS. I THINK THAT
THE THINKING WITHIN OUR OFFICE WAS THAT IT WOULD FOLLOW
THE 4246 MODEL AND THAT WE WERE NOT GOING TO BE HIRING
EXPERTS.

ALSO, I GUESS AT THAT TIME, WE WEREN'T SURE
HOW MANY OF THESE CASES WE WERE ACTUALLY GOING TO BE
REPRESENTING TOWARDS THE HEARING. SO THERE WAS NO PLAN
IN OUR BUDGET TO PAY FOR EXPERTS.

NOW, I AM SURE YOU KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT THE WAY

OUR OFFICE WORKS TO KNOW THAT MONEY CAN BE MOVED AROUND

FROM TIME TO TIME AND TO A GREAT EXTENT WE HAVE DONE

THAT. WE HAVE PAID OVER \$100,000 FOR EXPERTS IN 4248

CASES. AND THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT WE ARE SIMPLY TAPPED

OUT. WE DON'T HAVE ANYWHERE ELSE TO GO TO GET MONEY

WITHIN THE EXISTING BUDGET.

THE COURT: LET'S SUPPOSE IT NEVER GETS

FUNDED. LET'S ASSUME THAT THE GOVERNMENT -- THAT

CONGRESS NEVER FUNDS IT, AND THAT THE A.O. NEVER PUTS ANY

MONEY IN. SURELY THE CASES JUST DON'T GO INTO SUSPENDED

ANIMATION FOR A LIFE SENTENCE BECAUSE YOU COULDN'T GET A

WITNESS.

MS. GRAVES: I THINK THERE ARE POSSIBILITIES.

I THINK THAT, OF COURSE, OUR CLIENTS WOULD AND WE WOULD

1	ARGUE THAT THEY WERE BEING DEPRIVED OF THEIR LIBERTY IN
2	VIOLATION OF THE CONSTITUTION.
3	` THE COURT: AND GET A HABEAS PETITION?
4	MS. GRAVES: YES.
5	THE COURT: DO YOU THINK THAT WOULD WORK?
6	MS. GRAVES: I DON'T KNOW. BUT THE
7	ALTERNATIVE ALSO IS FOR US TO WITHDRAW FROM THE CASE AND
8	TO HAVE PANEL ATTORNEYS REPRESENT THEM BECAUSE THEIR
9	PROCESS FOR OBTAINING EXPERTS IS DIFFERENT FROM OURS. WE
10	CAN'T SECURE THE SERVICES OF AN EXPERT WITHOUT HAVING THE
11	MONEY IN OUR BUDGET, BUT THEY CAN.
12	THE COURT: CANNOT.
13	MS. GRAVES: CANNOT. BUT THEY CAN. THEY CAN
14	GET THEY CAN HIRE AN EXPERT BEFORE THEY ACTUALLY HAVE
15	THE MONEY.
16	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, WHY DON'T YOU PARTNER
17	WITH A PANEL ATTORNEY AND GET THE EXPERT AND GET READY
18	FOR TRIAL. APPOINT CO-COUNSEL IN ORDER TO GET AN EXPERT.
19	MS. GRAVES: THAT IS A CREATIVE WAY OF LOOKING
20	AT IT.
21	THE COURT: THERE IS NO PROHIBITION AGAINST
22	IT. IT'S A CIVIL CASE. THAT IS WHAT EVERYBODY KEEPS
23	SAYING. YOU CAN HAVE MORE THAN ONE LAWYER.
24	MS. GRAVES: THAT IS TRUE. I WILL CERTAINLY
25	GIVE THAT SOME THOUGHT.

1	THE COURT: OKAY.
2	MS. GRAVES: YES, SIR.
3	THE COURT: AND SO YOU ARE AT A STALEMATE NOW
4	UNTIL YOU CAN GET YOUR EXPERT.
5	MS. GRAVES: YES, SIR.
6	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU I WILL DENY THE
7	MOTION TO CONTINUE, AND WHY DON'T YOU REPORT BACK IN 15
8	DAYS AND SEE WHAT THE STATUS IS THEN AND GIVE ME A
9	WRITTEN REPORT ON THE TIMING AND WHETHER YOU ARE GOING TO
10	BE ABLE TO ACHIEVE YOUR TRIAL PREPARATION.
11	MS. GRAVES: YES, SIR.
12	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT SORT OF WHERE WE
13	ARE IN THIS CASE? I KNOW I HAVE GOT THE MOTION TO
14	DISMISS AND I AM IN THE PROCESS OF TRYING TO ADDRESS
15	MR. JAMES: THAT'S CORRECT, JUDGE.
16	THE COURT: THAT AND FINALIZE.
17	MR. JAMES: WE HAVEN'T RECEIVED ANY
18	DISCLOSURES FROM THE RESPONDENT. THAT DISCLOSURE WOULD
19	ONLY BE THEIR EXPERT'S REPORT, AND SO THAT IS WHERE WE
20	ARE WITH THIS RIGHT NOW. THAT IS OUR STATUS.
21	THE COURT: IT WOULD BE PREMATURE TO SET THIS
22	CASE FOR TRIAL; DO YOU THINK?
23	MR. JAMES: I WOULD SAY, YOUR HONOR, AT LEAST
24	UNTIL THE 15 DAY PERIOD IN WHICH COUNSEL IS SUPPOSED TO
25	REPORT TO THE COURT ON WHETHER OR NOT SHE CAN TAKE

1	ADVANTAGE OF THE COURT'S ADVICE ABOUT THE CO-COUNSEL, ET
2	CETERA.
3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. BASED ON WHATEVER THE
4	GOVERNMENT I MEAN THE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S FILING IS,
5	MAYBE YOU CAN USE THAT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A
6	TRIAL SETTING AND REPORT ON THE DISCOVERY DISCLOSURES AND
7	EXPERT DISCLOSURES.
8	SO WE WON'T SET A FORMAL DATE, BUT WE WILL SET
9	A PROCESS TO GET A DATE. IS THAT OKAY?
10	MR. JAMES: YES, YOUR HONOR.
11	MS. GRAVES: YES, SIR.
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING ELSE IN THIS
13	CASE?
14	MS. GRAVES: THERE IS ONE MORE THING. I DID
15	FILE A MOTION FOR BRADY.
16	THE COURT: OKAY.
17	MS. GRAVES: AND THERE WERE SOME SPECIFIC
18	THINGS THAT WE WERE LOOKING FOR. THERE WAS A VIDEO TAPE
19	THAT WAS RUMORED TO HAVE BEEN MADE OF OUR CLIENT MAKING
20	SOME STATEMENTS BACK IN 2005 TO LAW ENFORCEMENT THAT WE
21	ARE TRYING TO GET AHOLD OF, AND WE ARE ALSO TRYING TO GET
22	AHOLD OF NOTES FROM THE DOCTOR WHO WAS TREATING HIM
23	DURING THAT TIME PERIOD.
24	SO AND I HAVE PREVIOUSLY ASKED MR. JAMES
25	ABOUT THOSE THINGS.

1 MR. ACKER: YOUR HONOR, AS WE SAID THE LAST 2 TIME WE WERE BEFORE YOU, WE DON'T BELIEVE WE HAVE ANY 3 OBLIGATIONS UNDER BRADY, BUT WE UNDERSTAND HOW YOUR HONOR 4 IS GOING TO RULE ON THAT, AND WE WILL COMPLY IF YOUR 5 HONOR ORDERS IT. 6 I WILL NOTE THAT WE DO NOT BELIEVE WE HAVE 7 POSSESSION OF ANY BRADY MATERIAL. 8 THE COURT: WELL, JUST LIKE IN A CRIMINAL 9 CASE, THAT IS A COMPLETE ANSWER. 10 MR. ACKER: RIGHT. 11 THE COURT: IF YOU ARE IN A CRIMINAL 12 INDICTMENT AND THE DEFENDANT LAWYER SAYS, GIVE ME ALL 13 YOUR BRADY, AND YOU SAY, YOU HAVE ALL THE BRADY THERE IS, 14 AND/OR THERE IS NO BRADY. 15 MR. ACKER: RIGHT. 16 THE COURT: THEN THAT IS A COMPLIANCE. 17 ONLY THING THAT CAN OVERCOME THAT IS SOME UNFORESEEN 18 DISCOVERY LATER IN TIME THAT YOU HONESTLY DIDN'T KNOW 19 ABOUT. 20 MR. ACKER: RIGHT. TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE 21 ARE MATERIALS THAT THEY ARE AWARE OF THAT THEY WOULD LIKE 22 OUR ASSISTANCE IN TRYING TO OBTAIN, I DON'T THINK WE HAVE 23 ANY OBJECTION TO DOING THAT, WHETHER IT'S INCULPATORY OR 24 EXCULPATORY. IF WE ARE GOING TO ASSIST IN GETTING IT, WE 25 WOULD LIKE TO GET IT AND WE'LL SHARE IT WITH BOTH SIDES.

1 SO WE CERTAINLY WILL DO THAT. I DON'T KNOW THAT WE CAN 2 PROMISE BECAUSE WE DON'T ALWAYS HAVE ACCESS TO CERTAIN 3 THINGS. 4 THE COURT: YES. YOU ARE NOT OBLIGATED, 5 EXCEPT FOR THE THINGS YOU HAVE. 6 I THINK IN A CRIMINAL CASE YOU HAVE TO GIVE UP 7 WHAT YOU HAVE, BUT YOU DON'T HAVE TO GO ON A HUNTING TRIP 8 TO FIND STUFF YOU DON'T HAVE. 9 MR. ACKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THAT WAS 10 WHAT WE WANTED TO CLARIFY. 11 THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO SCOUR THE WORLD 12 TO FIND SOMETHING THAT IS BRADY, BUT IF YOU HAVE GOT IT, 13 YOU HAVE TO GIVE IT UP. 14 MR. ACKER: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. WE 15 JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT WAS --16 THE COURT: I THINK THAT IS THE INTENT OF THE 17 RULE. AND I OWE EVERYBODY AN ORDER WHICH I AM TRYING TO 18 FASHION THAT WILL BE A ONE SIZE FITS ALL ON THIS BRADY 19 THING SAYING THAT AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED, IT'S 20 APPLICATION OF FUNDAMENTAL LAW AND SO YOU HAVE TO DO IT RATHER THAN RESPOND IN EACH CASE. 21 MR. ACKER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 22 23 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'LL BE IN 24 RECESS. 25 (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE ADJOURNED.)

CERTIFICATE THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TAKEN IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF THE SHORTHAND NOTES, CONSISTING OF THE WHOLE THEREOF, OF THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN BY ME IN MACHINE SHORTHAND AND TRANSCRIBED BY COMPUTER UNDER MY SUPERVISION. DATED THIS 29TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2011. /S/ SHARON K. KROEGER COURT REPORTER